To the Editor of THE TIMES:
I wish to complain of the treatment received in the women's suffrage parade on March 3. We were forced to walk single file nearly all the way and all descriptions of smutty, insulting remarks were cast at us. The remarks were made by drunken men and negroes who blocked our way.

PARTICIPANT.

To the Editor of THE TIMES:
I wish to complain of the brutal treatment received while myself and friends were marching in the suffrage parade.

While standing behind the ropes at the south corner of Pennsylvania avenue and Seventh street we were knocked down and trodden on and painfully crushed. White men and negroes cursed us, and we were unable to get out of the mob.

SPECTATOR.

To the Editor of THE TIMES:
In regard to the inadequate protection given the suffrage parade Monday, I would like to say that the governors
To the Editor of THE TIMES:

I want to commend The Times for its position in regard to the outrageous conduct of the Washington police force at the women's equal suffrage parade. I would not add to the many letters published, if it were not for the belief that the more said about it the quicker will the responsible heads be dropped.

The crowd in general, while probably not sympathetic, was not antagonistic, except for a few drunkards and youngsters that came to have a good time and who could have been kept in order had the police made the effort to do so. Instead the police looked on and smiled as the ladies were intruded upon. Along the route from First to about Twelfth street I do not recall seeing but one mounted policeman while on Tuesday they were everywhere.

All praise to the Boy Scouts and some soldiers. If not for them we would never have gotten through.

The blame should not be placed upon the people of Washington, but rather to the autocratic government of this city. Had we the right of citizenship and could elect and recall our officers such a thing would never have happened.

A MALE MARCHER.
To the Editor of THE TIMES:

To any fair-minded person, it is reasonable to understand at this time how much more difficult a task the police had in their endeavors to keep order on the day of the suffrage parade than in the inaugural parade on the next day. The one created ridicule from many people.

The rare and spectacular scene which the suffragettes wished to create for advertising purposes they have gained. That is not enough to suit their purposes. The people were too anxious to get a good view of the paraders and pressed forward against all objections, and beyond the ropes, under them, and breaking them down. This formed another opportunity for outcry and advertising, and they would now unseat Major Sylvester, enlisting the sympathy of the community as if the police chief were at fault.

Their policy is “Advertising, no matter at what cost.”

The inaugural parade had the good wishes of all who came to do honor to our President, and no close inspection was desired by any one.

One parade was a surging mob of spectators, and the other a sensible crowd of well-behaved people.

After the experience of the previous day the police might have learned better how to handle the same people, and if the suffrage parade had been repeated they would probably have held the crowd back. A WITNESS.